UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/671,070 | 09/24/2003 | Rama Bhatt | 200144.404 | 5866 |
| SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RAO, DEEPAK R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1624 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/21/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/671,070 | BHATT ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Deepak Rao | 1624 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON | DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 4-9 and 60-65 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6,7,9,62,63 and 65 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-5, 8, 60-61, 64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet(s). | ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is constant. | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ntion No ved in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on September 7, 2007.

Claims 4-9 and 60-65 are pending in this application.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections:

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

The following rejections are under new grounds:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 8, 60 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 4 and 8, the recitation " R^4 or R^5 is acyl containing group, and the other is H, ..." is confusing. It is suggested that the phrase be replaced with – one of R^4 or R^5 is acyl containing group, and the other is H, ... --.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,070 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 5 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grant et al., WO 02/12198 (published February 14, 2002). The reference teaches pyrimidine-4-amine compounds that are structurally analogous to instantly claimed compounds. See the compounds represented by structural formula (II) disclosed in page 6 and the corresponding species, e.g., compound 44 in Table IV (page 48) (structural formula of compound 44 depicted below for convenience). The compounds are taught to be useful as pharmaceutical agents, see the abstract.

The instantly claimed compounds differ from the reference compound by having R¹ as alkyl, e.g., methyl, in place of H for the reference compound. Therefore, the instantly claimed compounds differ from the reference compounds by being H vs. CH₃ or by a -CH₂ group and it is well established that compounds that differ by a -CH₂ group are structural homologs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the reference compounds to prepare the structural homolog. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the instantly claimed compounds because such structurally homologous compounds are expected to possess similar properties. It has been held that compounds that are structurally homologous to prior art compounds are *prima facie* obvious,

absent a showing of unexpected results. In re Hass, 60 USPQ 544 (CCPA 1944); In re Henze, 85 USPQ 261 (CCPA 1950).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-7, 9, 62-63 and 65 are allowed. Claims 4, 8, 60 and 64 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1624

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Deepak Rao/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

November 21, 2007